Due Diligence in Public Procurement: The Case of the Gwanda National Solar Project

Introduction

The African continent possesses 10 percent of the world’s oil reserves, 30% of the world’s mineral reserves, 40 percent of gold ore, and no less than 95 percent of platinum group of metals and 8% of the world’s natural gas. Extractive resources account for almost one quarter of Africa’s GDP and about 3.5 billion people live in countries rich in oil, gas or minerals. However, most often these resources have become a source of conflict rather than opportunity as most resource rich countries in Africa suffer from poverty, corruption, and conflict stemming from weak governance. This has led to what some people have termed “resource curse.” Zimbabwe is equally endowed with mineral resources which are estimated at over 60 minerals. Sadly, despite the rich and diverse minerals resource, the Zimbabwean economy has endured two decades of economic decline, which can be attributed to several factors such as bad governance and corruption. The mining sector has remained an enclave economy, detached from the rest of the economy, and a major source of conflict, displacements, land degradation, unfair labour practices and illicit financial flows which the late former President of Zimbabwe, Robert Mugabe attributed to the $15 billion lost from Marange Diamond fields between 2009 and 2015. The statement raised a lot of attention from both Civil Society Organisations and the media, but nothing has been done to date to track or account for the lost revenue.

As the African continent and Zimbabwe commemorate the 4th African Anti-Corruption Day on the 11th of July 2020, it is important for anti-corruption stakeholders to introspect on the challenges and successes in the fight against corruption. With a strong constitution, Constitution of Zimbabwe Amendment (No. 20) Act that outlines basic principles of good governance, public finance management and being a State Parties to various regional and international anti-corruption conventions, where are we dropping the ball as Zimbabwe and why are we not winning the fight against corruption? On this eve of the African Anti-Corruption Day, TI Z explores one of the avenues in which the country is losing revenue. Revenue that could be channeled towards uplifting the lives of ordinary citizens. Year in and year out the country continues to experience leakages through public procurement. This policy brief looks at the controversial Gwanda National Solar Project. However, it will not delve into the court proceedings of the matter involving Intratrek Zimbabwe.
Background to the Gwanda National Solar Project

According to the first report of the Portfolio Committee on Mines and Energy presented to the National House of Assembly following the Committee’s site visit to Gwanda on 28 February 2018, various procurement procedures and provisions of the then State Procurement Act Chapter 22:14 were violated in the awarding of the tender to Intratrek. Evidence presented revealed attempts to frustrate and push out the original winner of the bid. Prior to conclusion of the award, an accounting officer from the Zimbabwe Power Company (ZPC) recommended an additional award of the tender to China Jiangxi and Intratrek. This was in contravention of Section 31(1)(n) of the repealed Procurement Act, Chapter 22:14 which prohibited the negotiation of submitted bids. This first tender faced a number of challenges leading to its cancellation.

In 2015 a second tender was then floated and eventually awarded to Intratrek. On the 23rd of October 2015, a contract for the Gwanda Solar Project worth over USD183 million was entered into between ZPC and Intratrek Zimbabwe with its technical partner, Chint Electric Company. Realising that Zimbabwe had several uncompleted projects which had the capacity to bridge the power shortage gap, the Parliamentary Portfolio Committee on Mines and Energy conducted a case study using the Gwanda National Solar Project. On the 28th of February 2018, the committee went on a site visit to establish progress on the project. The committee found out that “no meaningful progress had be made in its establishment largely due to bureaucratic bungling and corruption, among other issues.”

Based on the evidence gathered by the Parliamentary Portfolio Committee in 2018, the tender was awarded to a politically exposed person who influenced various senior government officials. Further, there was no due diligence done pertaining to the company that was awarded the contract. For example, it was later revealed that the Managing Director of Intratrek Mr. Wicknell Chivayo had a previous criminal record and conviction which would have precluded Intratrek from being awarded the tender in terms of section 34(f) of the State Procurement Act. Against all this background, the government is contemplating re-engaging Intratrek to finalise the project.
Red Flags Concerning the Awarding of the Intratrek Tender

“after the award I then went to the Minister, Hon. Mavhaire and I explained to him that in the beginning the whole solar project was my brainchild” - Intratrek Managing Director to the committee

- The awarding of the tender was done in violation of the State Procurement Act Chapter 22:14 considering that Mr. Chivayo was formerly convicted on charges of fraud.

- The procurement process was not effected in a transparent, fair, honest, cost effective and competitive manner in violation of section 325 of the Constitution of Zimbabwe.

- The floating of the tender barely a year after the formation of Intratrek Zimbabwe.

- The tender was given to Intratrek which had no financial and technical resources to establish the power generation plant.

- The Zimbabwe Power Company made an advance payment to Intratrek without a bank guarantee which was a requirement under the contract between ZPC and Intratrek.

- The management of the ZPC Board released over US$5.6 million to Intratrek without the approval of the board.

- There was political interference which prompted ZPC to make payments without following due processes.

- The Board Chairperson of ZPC did not disclose that he had a working relationship with Intratrek in violation of section 34(1)(a) of the Public Entities Corporate Governance law.

Concerns for Transparency International Zimbabwe

Section 308 (2) of the Constitution of Zimbabwe provides that it is the duty of every person who has custody or control of public funds to safeguard the funds and ensure that they are spent only on legally authorized purposes and in legally authorized amounts. It then becomes a cause for concern when public officials engage in irregular, fruitless and wasteful expenditures resulting in loss of public resources. Transparency International Zimbabwe is worried that, in the case in point, there was no value for money considering that the whole project was given to a company without financial and technical knowledge and no evidence that the tendering process was competitive and transparent. Failure to undertake due diligence on companies awarded public contracts has devastating consequences on the people of Zimbabwe who continue to suffer as a result of inadequate electricity supply. The shortage of electricity has impacted heavily on individual households, livelihoods and industrial capacity utilization which is currently below 35%- ultimately contributing to the high unemployment rate in the country. Women are the most impacted as they look for alternative sources of energy in which most of the alternative’s impact negatively on the environment leading to climate change.

Concerning the Gwanda National Solar Project, the following key questions remain unanswered;

- Why was the contract not cancelled after the recommendations from Parliament revealing the irregularities in the awarding of the tender to Intratrek?

- Was the non-submission of monthly reports to ZPC deliberate?
Suppose that all the public procurement procedures were adhered to, is the project worth USD183 million?

Was the tender process competitive enough in the first place?

Under what conditions is the tender to be re-awarded?

Should Zimbabwean citizens have access to public procurement contracts?

Until and unless the government is in a position to provide answers to these pertinent questions, the initial and current awarding of the contract to Intratrek will be in contravention of the laws of the land including Section 315 of the Constitution of Zimbabwe and the Public Procurement and Disposal of Public Assets Act of 2017.

Recommendations

Due diligence must be made mandatory for all public procurement. This will go a long way in mitigating and managing risks in the supply chain. It is important for procurement authorities to independently verify a supplier’s capability to deliver fully against their contract. This is an important step before the awarding of the contract.

When advertising for tender, there should be a clause which specifies that people with previous convictions for financial related crimes must not participate in the bid.

Citizens must be empowered to carry out social audits on national projects in order to monitor the implementation of projects (social accountability).

The Companies Act must be amended to incorporate beneficial ownership transparency considering that disclosure alone is not enough. Information on beneficial owners of companies being awarded public contracts must be made easily available and be verifiable.

The Ministry of Energy must consider the recommendations of the Parliamentary Portfolio Committee on Mines and Energy of 2018 before proceeding with a decision to reissue the contract to Intratrek.